



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,696	05/29/2001	Glenn G. Strawder		5731
7590 04/23/2008				
William D. Hall 10850 Stanmore Drive Potomac, MD 20854-1522				
EXAMINER PORTER, RACHEL L				
ART UNIT 3626		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 04/23/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/865,696

**Applicant(s)**

STRAWDER, GLENN G.

**Examiner**

RACHEL L. PORTER

**Art Unit**

3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RACHEL L. PORTER.(3) Glenn Strawder, Inventor.(2) William Hall, Reg No. 14,311.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2008.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 47, 50, 52, 54 and 62.Identification of prior art discussed: Dorne and Howson.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's discussed that Howson reference was drawn to a different type of medical device. Discussed possible claim language to reflect that applicant's invention was drawn toward medical imaging apparatus operation in the preamble and body of the claim. Advised applicant that current rejection would be reconsidered in light of any additional, formally filed written amendments and arguments submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rachel L. Porter/

Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required